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## Science News and Information Today

## A majority of Americans rely on general outlets for science news but more say specialty sources get the facts right about science

At a time when scientific information is increasingly at the center of public divides, most Americans say they get science news no more than a couple of times per month, and when they do, most say it is by happenstance rather than intentionally, according to a new study by Pew Research Center. Overall, about a third, $36 \%$, of Americans get science news at least a few times a week, three-in-ten actively seek it out, and a smaller portion, $17 \%$, do both.

And while Americans are most likely to get their science news from general news outlets and say the news media overall do a good job covering science, they consider a handful of specialty sources documentaries, science magazines, and science and technology museums - as more likely to get the science facts right.

## One-in-six Americans both actively seek out and frequently consume science news <br> \% of U.S. adults who ...



Most Americans get science news from general sources, but fewer see them as accurate
$\%$ of U.S. adults who say ...


Note: "Most of the time" combines those who said "almost all" or "more than half" of the time. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown. Other source types rated are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Public debates over science-
related policy issues - such as global climate change, vaccine requirements for children,
genetically engineered foods, or developments in human gene editing - place continuous demands on the citizenry to stay abreast of scientific developments. In terms of how these and other scientific research issues get communicated, at least four-in-ten U.S. adults see significant problems stemming from media practices, researcher practices and the public, themselves. But when pressed, Americans put more overall blame on the way media cover scientific research than on the way researchers publish or share their findings ( $73 \%$ to $24 \%$ ).

Social media, while prominent as a general news source, appear to play a modest role in informing Americans about science. Most social media users see science-related posts on these platforms, though only a quarter (25\%) see "a lot" or "some" science posts; and a third (33\%) consider this an important way they get science news. About a quarter of social media users (26\%) follow science accounts; these users are much more likely to click through to articles on science posts and to consider social media an important way they get science news. Beyond news and social media, most Americans encounter science-related information through entertainment media and informal science learning venues such as museums or parks. And, amidst a growing array of options, some $16 \%$ have directly engaged with science research by participating in a type of citizen science research activity, themselves.

These are some of the findings from a survey conducted among a nationally representative sample of 4,024 adults, ages 18 or older, from May 30-June 12, 2017. The survey asked about a range of issues from how the public encounters science news and assesses what and who to trust to other ways that people engage with science information in everyday life, including participation in citizen science research projects, hobbies, and consumption of entertainment programing built around science, medicine or technology. The margin of sampling error based on the full sample is plus or minus 1.6 percentage points. For details, see the Methodology.

## Most Americans lean on general news sources to learn about science but see specialty sources as more likely to be accurate

General news outlets - those that cover a range of different topics each day - are the largest providers of science news to Americans, even in this era when there is an increasing array of specialty science outlets. ${ }^{1}$ A 54\% majority of Americans regularly get their science news from general sources, higher than any of the 10 source types asked about in the survey. Even the most active of science news consumers regularly get science news from these general news outlets.

But general outlets, by a longshot, are not considered the most accurate - that distinction goes to specialty sources, specifically documentaries, science magazines, and science and technology museums. Fewer Americans regularly rely on

## Most Americans rely on general news outlets for science news, but a minority says they get the facts right about science



[^0][^1]these specialty sources for science news, but roughly half of Americans think that each of these three specialty sources get the facts right about science most of the time. Just $28 \%$ say the same of the general news outlets.

## Americans see the media as largely doing a good job covering science but also see a range of problems in what and how the public learns about scientific research

More than half ( $57 \%$ ) of Americans say the news media do a good job covering science. This is consistent with earlier assessments of coverage of specific science topics, notably childhood vaccines, but stands in contrast to other more negative general assessments of the news media, such as their impact on the country.

At the same time, sizable shares of the public see problems in news coverage of scientific research


[^2]stemming from the media and from researchers, as well as the public themselves, though less than half name any of eight potential problems as major ones. Still, when pressed to choose, nearly three-quarters of the public ( $73 \%$ ) says the way the news media cover scientific research is a bigger problem than how researchers publish and share their findings (24\%).

## Twice as many social media users distrust science posts on these sites than trust them

Social media - a now prominent way in which people encounter and share news and information, particularly among younger Americans - appear to play a modest role in informing Americans about science news. Most social media users see science-related content but only a quarter (25\%) see "a lot" or "some" science posts on these sites, and only a third (33\%) consider it an important way they get science news.

What's more, about twice as many social media users say they mostly distrust rather than trust the science posts they see on these sites. This finding is in line with internet users' very low assessment of the trustworthiness of information more generally that they see on social media. ${ }^{2}$

Still, about a quarter of social media users (26\%) say they follow science related pages and accounts. And this group places both more importance


Only about a quarter of social media users trust these platforms as a source of science news and comparatively more trust on science news that comes to them through social media.

## A minority of Americans turn to family and friends for science news

Another social aspect of science news - interactions with family and friends - also plays a relatively modest role. A third (33\%) of Americans say they regularly get their science news from family and friends, and even fewer ( $17 \%$ ) talk with others about science news at least weekly, far

[^3]lower than shares found in past surveys focused on talking about news generally or discussions of politics.

And, just 16\% of Americans perceive their family and friends to be accurate sources of science news, far fewer than say general news outlets and most specialty sources get the facts right about science news most of the time. This finding is broadly consistent with a 2016 report that shows that more Americans perceive the news they get online from news organizations to be accurate than say the same of people
they are close with online.

A core group of active science news consumers track an array of niche sources and tend to engage in science activities

A small but active group of science news consumers is embedded within the general public; they are distinctive in how they use and evaluate science news.

Roughly one-in-six U.S. adults (17\%) both get science news at least a few times a week and tend to seek it out.

## About one-in-six U.S. adults are active science news consumers

$\%$ of U.S. adults who are each type of science news consumer


Note: Respondents who did not give an answer to either question are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Active science news consumers rely on a wider range of sources and discuss science news more often
\% of U.S. adults in each group who say they ...

|  | Type of science news consumer |  |  | ActiveUninterested difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Get science news from many different sources | $51 \%$ - $70 \%$ |  |  |  | +19 |
| Get science news from sources specializing in science topics | 15 | - - | - 45 |  | +30 |
| Discuss science news with others at least a few times a week | 6 | - - | - 44 |  | +38 |
| Among social media users ... Follow organizations, people or pages focused on science | 15 | - - 47 |  |  | +32 |
|  | 0 | $20 \quad 40$ | 60 | 80 | 100 |

[^4]These active science news consumers enjoy following science news more than news on other topics, turn to more types of science news providers, are more likely to discuss science with others, and of those on social media are more likely to follow science pages or accounts.

This group also has a greater tendency to think that each of the nine source types asked about in the survey is accurate. More than seven-in-ten active science news consumers say science and technology museums (74\%), science documentaries (73\%) and science magazines (72\%) get the facts right most of the time. In contrast, minorities of uninterested science news consumers think each of these sources is accurate more than half the time.

Active science news consumers are more likely than other Americans to have been to a park, museum or other informal science learning venue in the past year, to have a science-related hobby, and to have participated in a citizen science activity such as collecting data samples or making observations as part of a science research project.

This group tends to be more educated and to have higher incomes. Men are more likely than women to be active science news consumers ( $22 \%$

## Active science news consumers participate more in science activities and citizen science research

$\%$ of U.S. adults in each group who ...

| Have been to an informal | Type of science news consumer |  |  |  |  | ActiveUninterested difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Uninterested |  | - Casual | - Active |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 54\% | - | - 77\% |  | +23 |
| Have a science-related hobby | 7 | - | - 42 |  |  |  | +35 |
| Have ever been involved in a citizen science activity | 10 | - | - 31 |  |  |  | +21 |
|  | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100 |  |

Note: Type of science news consumer based on their frequency of science news consumption and whether they tend to look for or come across it. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER
vs. $12 \%$, respectively), consistent with men's somewhat higher level of interest in science news. But there are no differences by age and no more than modest differences by race or ethnicity in the share of active science news consumers.

## Republicans and Democrats are equally likely to be active science news consumers, but Democrats are more likely to think the media do a good job covering science

Despite wide political divides in views connected with climate change, energy, and funding for science research, as well as over trust in news about government and politics more generally, there are few differences between political party groups in how people consume science news. Republicans and Democrats (including independents who lean to each party) are equally likely to be active science news consumers ( $17 \%$ and $18 \%$, respectively). And, roughly seven-in-ten of each party says they are very or somewhat interested in science news. The vast majority of both groups say they often or sometimes consume science-related entertainment media, whether about criminal investigations, medical shows or science fiction.

Political divides emerge in judgments about science news. Roughly two-thirds of Democrats (64\%) say the news media do a very or somewhat good job in covering science, while Republicans are more evenly divided.

And, when asked about potential problems in coverage of scientific research a larger share of Republicans (53\%), especially conservative Republicans, find fault with media coverage, saying it is a big problem that the news media are "too quick to report

## Political differences over media's handling of science

 \% of U.S. adults in each group who say the following...|  | Rep/ <br> lean Rep | Dem/ <br> lean Dem | Dem-Rep <br> difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| News media do a good job | $50 \%$ | $\bullet 64 \%$ | +14 |

"News media are too quick to
report research findings that may
not hold up" is a big problem

| General news outlets get facts |
| :--- |
| right about science almost |
| all/more than half the time |

Note: Very/somewhat good job responses are combined. Respondents who gave other responses to each question or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER
research findings that may not hold up." Just 36\% of Democrats say the same.

By the same token, Democrats (34\%), especially liberal Democrats, are more likely to think that news outlets covering a range of topics get the facts rights about science most of the time than are Republicans (22\%).

## Science-related entertainment media reaches most Americans

Medical and forensic television shows and movies - such as Grey's Anatomy, House and the CSI franchise - have popularized diverse fields of scientific research. And, science fiction shows and movies, a now commonplace genre in entertainment offerings, capture the public imagination - as well as that of some inventors - with a portrait of what could be.

In contrast with science news consumption, a wide swath of Americans see sciencerelated content through entertainment media. Fully $81 \%$ of U.S. adults say they watch one or more of these types of programming (shows or movies about criminal investigations, hospitals and medical settings, or science fiction) at least sometimes.

Many in the scientific community have worried over how such media influence public impressions of, support for and understanding of science. 3 The new Pew Research Center survey finds that while most Americans believe such sources sacrifice realism for

## Most Americans think entertainment media help or do no harm to their understanding of science

\% of U.S. adults who say each of the following types of science shows and movies $\qquad$ their understanding of science, technology and medicine


Note: Frequent viewers are those who see each type of show or movie often or sometimes. Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER entertainment, most Americans believe such shows and movies do no harm to their understanding of science; and more people think such shows and movies help rather than hurt their understanding. 4

[^5]Further, the survey finds viewers of science-related entertainment believe that these films and shows provide, on the whole, a positive impression of working in science, technology and medicine. For example, $56 \%$ of Americans who watch shows about criminal investigations at least sometimes say these programs give a positive impression of working in science, technology and medicine; by contrast just $9 \%$ of these frequent viewers say the shows and movies create a negative impression, a third (33\%) say they give a neutral impression.

## Informal science venues and activities

Most Americans (62\%) have encountered science information in the past year at an informal learning venue such as a park, zoo, or science and technology museum, including majorities of those with and without minor age children. ${ }^{5}$

About two-in-ten adults (18\%) have a sciencerelated hobby or interest such as outdoor and naturalist activities, astronomy, computer programming and technology-related hobbies.

And about one-in-six Americans (16\%) say they have participated in a citizen science research activity, whether helping to collect data samples for a science research project, contributing to an online crowdsourcing activity, or participating in a maker movement or hack-a-thon.

## Many Americans encounter science in other venues of everyday life

\% of U.S. adults who ...


Note: Visits to informal science venues based on those who have been to any of five informal science venues. Citizen science activity based on those who have done any of three activities. Respondents who did not do each or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Those with higher levels of education and income are more likely to participate in each of these kinds of science activities, consistent with past studies. ${ }^{6}$ As noted above, active science news consumers are more likely to have been to an informal science venue, to have a science-related hobby, and to have participated in a citizen science activity. Younger adults (ages 18 to 29) are modestly more likely than older adults to have done these things.

[^6]
## 1. Most Americans express curiosity in science news, but a minority are active science news consumers

About seven-in-ten Americans express at least some interest in science news - in fact, they report greater interest in science stories than news about business, entertainment and even sports, though more report interest in local and government news.

But regardless of interest levels, a minority (36\%) of the public gets science news regularly, and most encounter it by chance rather than actively searching for it. Taken together, one-in-six adults ( $17 \%$ ) both get science news regularly and seek it out, whereas about half (49\%) get science news infrequently and primarily happens upon it.

## Most Americans have at least some interest in science news, though only one-in-four are very interested

Science news garners a fair amount of interest among Americans. About seven-inten ( $71 \%$ ) have at least some interest in the news topic, though far fewer (25\%) say they are very interested.

Overall, of the news topics asked about, interest in science falls somewhere in the middle. More Americans are very interested in local (41\%) and political news (30\%) than are very interested in science news, but science outpaces business (18\%), sports (17\%) and entertainment news (10\%).

## Most Americans have at least some interest in science news

$\%$ of U.S. adults who say they are $\qquad$ in each news topic


Note: Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

These findings track with previous Pew Research Center surveys in $\underline{2016}$ and $\underline{2014}$ as well as surveys on behalf of the National Science Board. 7

Additionally, about half of Americans (52\%) express enjoyment in science news over other topics, - though only $8 \%$ say they do a lot more.

## Within science news, Americans are most interested in health and medicine

Science spans a wide range of subject areas. Of seven specific science topics asked about, five of them garner interest from at least half of Americans. But one stands out from the others: health and medicine. Seven-in-ten Americans express interest in health and medicine, with nearly three-in-ten (28\%) rating it as the science news topic they are most interested in.

Health and medicine is followed by food/nutrition and technology - each chosen as the most interesting topic by $17 \%$ of U.S. adults. Fewer name energy and environment, the mind and brain, and space and astronomy as the topic that interests them most ( $9 \%$ each), while just $2 \%$ say this of evolution and $1 \%$ mention some other science topic.

Overall, the vast majority of Americans express interest in at least one of the seven specific science news topics. But when asked about the science news they get, about half (49\%) say they follow just a couple of science news topics.

[^7]Interest in science news higher among men and the more educated
Across demographic groups, the higher educated and men exhibit greater levels of interest in science news. About four-in-ten (38\%) of those with postgraduate degrees and $32 \%$ with college degrees are very interested, compared with a quarter or less of those who have some college education or less. Men are also more likely than women to be very interested (30\% vs. 20\%).

Differences emerge as well in interest in the specific science news topics. Women are more likely to be most interested in health, nutrition and the mind, whereas men favor technology and astronomy. Younger Americans are more likely to show an affinity for technology, whereas their elders prefer health-related subjects. Those with at least college degrees are more likely to name technology as their favorite topic. And while Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents are somewhat more likely to say energy and environment is a science topic of interest to them, few in either party consider this a topic of "most interest" (11\% of Democrats/leaning Democrats and 6\% of Republicans/leaning Republicans). For more details see Appendix A. ${ }^{8}$

[^8]
## About one-in-six adults (17\%) actively seek out science news and consume it at least a few times a week; most get science news infrequently and happen upon it

While most Americans show at least some interest in science news, this doesn't translate into high levels of active science news consumption.

The survey examined the intensity of science news consumption in two ways frequency of consumption and whether people seek it out. The results show that a greater portion of Americans tend to be more passive than active in their science news habits.
Most Americans get science news infrequently and tend to happen upon it
\% of U.S. adults who say they get science news ...
$36 \%$ get science news at least a few times a week

| Nearly <br> every day | A few times <br> a week |  | A few times <br> a month |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $30 \%$ | Less often |
| Mostly because they <br> are looking for it | Mostly because they <br> happen to come across it |  |  |
| 30 | 68 |  |  |

Note: Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Most Americans are not frequent consumers of science news. About two-thirds (64\%) get news about this topic a few times a month or less. The remaining $36 \%$ get news more often, with just one-in-ten doing so nearly daily.

And when Americans do get science news, most do so by happenstance. Nearly seven-in-ten (68\%) get their science news mostly because they happen to come across it, while three-in-ten mostly do so because they look for it. Americans tend to be more passive in how they get science news than they are about news more generally; a 2016 Pew Research Center report found that when Americans are asked about how they get their online news, $44 \%$ said they mostly seek out their news online, whereas $55 \%$ mostly happen upon it.

Taken together, a minority of Americans (17\%) are what can be termed "active science news consumers," those who seek out science news and get it at least a few times a week. About half (49\%) of U.S. adults, on the other hand, are "uninterested science news consumers" - they get science news infrequently and mostly come across it. The remaining $32 \%$, the "casual science news consumers," fall somewhere in the middle; they either frequently get science news or seek it out, but not both.

## 17\% of Americans are active science news consumers

$\%$ of U.S. adults who are each type of science news consumer


Note: Respondents who did not give an answer to either question are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER
$\qquad$

Similar to interest levels, the two demographic groups that stand out for being somewhat more likely to be active science news consumers are men and the more educated. About a quarter of those with postgraduate degrees (27\%) and those with college degrees (24\%) are active consumers, compared with $16 \%$ of those with some college education and about one-in-ten (11\%) of those with high school diplomas or less.

Men are also more likely than women to be active consumers ( $22 \%$ vs. $12 \%$, respectively), consistent with their higher level of interest.

There are no differences by age or political party identification in the share of active science news consumers, though Republicans and independents who lean to the GOP are slightly more likely to be uninterested science news consumers than are their Democratic counterparts.

## Active science news consumers are more likely to be men, college grads



Note: Type of science news consumer based on their frequency of science news consumption and whether they tend to look for or come across it. Whites and blacks are non-Hispanics only. Hispanics are of any race. Respondents who did not give an answer to either question are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Curiosity is a key driver for why people get science news; most parents cite children's interests as a reason

When U.S. adults are asked about why they follow science news, curiosity outpaces any other reason. About eight-in-ten adults (81\%) cite their curiosity about what's happening in science as a reason that they follow news on the topic, with $39 \%$ saying it's a major reason.

Other reasons cited by smaller majorities are that science news helps them make decisions about their everyday lives and that they enjoy talking about science with others. Additionally, parents tend to cite their children's interests and activities as a reason; some $61 \%$ of parents with children under 18 say this, with nearly a quarter ( $24 \%$ ) saying it's a major reason they follow science news.

Overall, more called each of these a "minor" rather than a "major" reason they follow science news.

## Curiosity is most common reason for following science news

\% of U.S. adults who say that each is a major or minor reason for why they follow news about science


## Among parents:

Related to their children's interests or education

## Among employed:

Related to their job

2
38

1423

61 37

Note: Respondents who said each was not a reason or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

On the flip side, there are many factors that discourage Americans from following science news more often. Though, of eight possible reasons asked about, none is cited by a majority as even a minor reason. The two most common reasons why people don't follow science news more frequently are that they are too busy (46\%) or because the sources they regularly follow don't cover science a lot (43\%).

## A host of reasons, but none primary, for why people don't follow science news more

$\%$ of U.S. adults who say that each is a major or minor reason for why they don't follow science news more often

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { A major A minor } \\ \text { reason }\end{array}$ |  |  | NET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reason |  |  |  |  |$)$

Note: Respondents who said each was not a reason or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Science news is not a particularly social experience

Few Americans have regular discussions about science news topics with their friends, family and acquaintances. The majority of Americans (55\%) say they discuss science news less than a few times a month, while just $14 \%$ do so a few times a week and $3 \%$ do daily. This finding is in line with the fact that only a minority of adults actively consume science news.

Americans seem to discuss science news with others less than they do other forms of news. A 2016 Pew Research Center report found that $73 \%$ of Americans discuss news generally whether about science or some other topic - at least a few times a week. And in March 2016, nearly six-in-ten (59\%) U.S. adults said they had talked about government and politics with others at least a few times a week.

Additionally, most people who discuss science news with some regularity (i.e., "at least a few times a month") do not consider themselves drivers of these conservations. Nearly twothirds, $63 \%$, of those who discuss science news at least a few times a month say they usually listen more than lead conversations about science news, while only $36 \%$ of this group

## Less than half of Americans talk about science at least a few times a month

\% of U.S. adults who say they discuss science news ...


## And a minority of those who do discuss it are drivers of these conversations

Of the $44 \%$ of U.S. adults who say they discuss science news at least a few times a month,
the \% who tend to ___ the conversations

the \% who typically ___for science news


Note: Figures in top half are based on all U.S. adults. Figures in bottom half are based on those who discuss science news at least a few times a month. Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER says they see themselves as leading these conversations. Similarly, more than half (54\%) of those who discuss science news at least a few times a month say they typically turn to others for science news, compared with $44 \%$ of this group who say that others typically turn to them for science news.

## Active science news consumers much more likely to enjoy science news, cite a number of reasons for following it, and talk about it with others

Active science news consumers stand out for their high interest in science news and the ways they consume it. An overwhelming majority ( $88 \%$ ) of active science news consumers say they enjoy following science news more than other types of news; this compares with $63 \%$ of casual consumers and just one-third (33\%) of the uninterested consumers.

Active science news consumers are especially likely to cite curiosity as a motivating factor for following science news; nearly every active consumer (97\%) cites it as a reason, with $77 \%$ saying it's a major reason. And most say they follow science news about a lot of different science topics.

Finally, this group is particularly likely to have conversations about science news. Fully $44 \%$ of active science news consumers report talking about science news with others at least a few times a week, about twice that of casual consumers (21\%) and far more than the uninterested science news consumers (6\%). This group of active consumers is also much more likely to see themselves as the drivers of these conversations. Most active science news consumers who discuss science news with


Note: Type of science news consumer based on their frequency of science news consumption and whether they tend to look for or come across it. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER some regularity ( $61 \%$ ) say that others turn to them for science news - in contrast to $42 \%$ of casual and $29 \%$ of uninterested science news consumers who discuss science news at least a few times a month. Similarly, about half of active science news consumers who discuss science news with some regularity (47\%) say they lead more than listen in these conversations, compared with $35 \%$ of casual and a quarter ( $25 \%$ ) of uninterested science news consumers who have these conversations at least a few times a month.

## 2. General news outlets are the most common science news source; most-seen stories highlight discoveries and "weird" findings

Even as news has diversified into multiple types of platforms and niche outlets, the general news media play an important role in providing the public news about science. ${ }^{9}$ This is true for active science news consumers as well, though they are more likely to also turn to specialty outlets.

Beyond the topics of science news, the most common science stories people see are reports on new discoveries, followed by stories about "strange or weird" research findings.

## General news outlets outpace

 other sources for science newsMore Americans rely on news outlets that cover a range of topics for science news than on specialty outlets.

When asked whether they rely more on general news outlets or specialty outlets for science news, $72 \%$ of Americans say general news sources; $24 \%$ say they get most of their science news from specialty sources.

A similar propensity towards general news outlets emerges when asking more specifically about 10 different types of sources for science news. Some $54 \%$ of Americans regularly get science news from outlets that cover a range of topics. The next most common source is documentaries or other science video programs (45\%), while roughly one-quarter of

## A majority of the public get science news through general news sources

\% of U.S. adults who say they regularly get their science news from ...


[^9]Americans rely on websites and blogs focused on science (26\%) or science magazines (25\%). Far fewer Americans regularly rely on science specialty radio programs or podcasts (12\%), science and technology museums (12\%), online discussion forums about science (11\%), government agencies (10\%) or advocacy organizations (6\%) for science news.

There is an ongoing concern, particularly in the medical and public health communities, about the extent to which the public attends to alternative medical information, rather than conventional sources. When asked separately about these types of sources, just $8 \%$ of Americans say they regularly get science news from "sources that provide alternative perspectives to conventional science or medical research."

Many of these sources for science news and information - general news outlets, specialty sources, and alternative sources - can also be reached via social media. Most social media users (79\%) say they see science-related posts there, even if not very many. A detailed analysis of people's use of social media for science news and information can be found in Chapter 4.

## Most Americans commonly rely on general news outlets, but active science news consumers also tend to rely on specialty sources

Active science news consumers are much more likely to rely on specialty sources than casual and uninterested consumers - but, even among this group, a $54 \%$ majority say, overall, they mostly rely on general news outlets for science news, while $45 \%$ say they get most of their news from science specialty outlets. By far, the majority of casual (71\%) and uninterested science news consumers (81\%) rely on general news sources for most of their science news.

When asked separately about 10 specific source types, similar shares of active, casual and uninterested science news consumers say they regularly get science news from news outlets that cover a range of topics and from family and friends. But active consumers rely more heavily on specialty science sources than do either casual or uninterested science news consumers. For example, active science news consumers are roughly two times more likely than uninterested news consumers to regularly get science news from documentaries or video programs ( $67 \%$, compared with $34 \%$ ). They are also much more likely to frequently get science news from science magazines and science-focused websites and blogs.

Most rely on general sources for science news; active news consumers more likely to go to specialty outlets
\% of U.S. adults who say they regularly get their science news from ...


Active science news consumers get their science news from more source types. On average, active science news consumers regularly get their news from 3.7 out of 10 source types considered in the survey, compared with 2.7 among casual consumers and 1.8 among uninterested science news consumers.

Finally, as with other news sources, active science news consumers are also more likely to rely on sources that provide alternative perspectives to conventional science or medical research (19\%) than either casual (8\%) or uninterested science news consumers (4\%).

## Most Americans say they see news about scientific discoveries and about half see strange research findings

Science news covers a wide range of scientific fields whether biology, medicine, physics or something else. Some have criticized science journalism for too much emphasis on "gee-whiz" writing that, while enticing, gives too little attention to the relevance of science for the average person or the quality of the research.

The data here indicate that there is a bit of both going on. Most Americans (56\%) see scientific discoveries, and more than a third of Americans (37\%) say they see science news stories that help them make decisions about everyday life for themselves and their family.

## Most Americans say they have seen news stories about scientific discoveries

$\%$ of U.S. adults who say they ever read, watch or listen to news stories that report ...


Note: Respondents who did not select each category or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Still, about half (48\%) see science news articles about "strange or weird scientific research findings."

When asked to describe a recent science news story that helped them make everyday decisions, the most frequent topics mentioned were about medicine and health stories followed by food and nutrition stories. For example, one respondent mentioned a radio program about the shingles vaccine led them to get vaccinated and another mentioned a story about a correlation between eating red meat and propensity for chronic disease that led them to change their eating habits. A smaller share of those seeing such stories mention stories about climate change and the environment leading to decisions in their everyday life.

Science news also sometimes contains contradictory information or notes disagreement among scientific experts. Some $41 \%$ of Americans report having seen news stories with scientific information that conflicts with earlier findings on the same topic. About three-in-ten Americans (29\%) have seen news stories noting disagreement among scientific experts; among this group, climate change stories are most commonly cited (32\%).

Fewer U.S. adults report seeing science news stories about a science researcher's misconduct ( $15 \%$ ) or about scientific research findings that seem completely made up (18\%). Those that have seen this kind of story mentioned a number of topics including climate change, extraterrestrials and a variety of other topics.

There are no more than modest differences across demographic and educational groups in the types of science news seen. Further, there are only modest differences between Republicans and Democrats (including independents who lean to each party, respectively) in the kinds of science stories encountered. For example, $32 \%$ of Republicans say they see news that reports disagreement among scientific experts, as do $27 \%$ of Democrats. Some $22 \%$ of Republicans say they see news reports about scientific research that seem completely made up - only modestly higher than the $16 \%$ of Democrats who say the same.

## Americans see medicine and health, food- and nutrition-focused articles as helpful in their everyday life decisions

Among the $37 \%$ of U.S. adults who say they ever read, watched or listened to science news stories with scientific information that helps them make decisions about everyday life, the $\%$ who say the most recent science news story they saw on this topic was about ...

| MOST COMMON RESPONSES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medicine and health | 23\% | SAMPLE RESPONSES |
|  |  | "A radio program that featured doctors speaking about vaccines. I listened to the |
|  |  | latest information concerning the shingles vaccine and I chose to get the vaccine based on the information." |
|  |  | "I watched a documentary about health and immune systems and decided to give my family supplements." |
| Food and nutrition | 16\% | "I read an article summarizing a study showing a correlation with red meat |
|  |  | consumption and a variety of chronic disease[s]. As a result, I decided that my family would eat less red meat." |
|  |  | "I heard more about the chemicals in produce and started trying to buy more organic products." |
| Climate, energy and environment | 16\% | "Global warming issues and the threat of the U.S. not being serious about joining |
|  |  | with the rest of the world in efforts to slow this process. It causes me to do what I |
|  |  | can in my family." |
|  |  | "All the stories about 'island of plastics' floating in the oceans have made me be a fanatic recycler of all plastic materials." |
| Space and astronomy | 3\% | "Solar eclipse this coming August. If daycare for my older child doesn't make |
|  |  | pinhole cameras, my husband and/or I will take the day off to do it and watch the eclipse." |
|  |  | "Certain planets would be visible at a certain time. We went out to look." |
| Technology | 3\% | "I saw a report on driverless cars, and talked with my wife about our long term car plan." <br> "News stories about new technology (robots, self-driving cars, reusable rockets) stimulating family investment decisions." |
| All other responses | 7\% |  |
| Don't know/No answer | 32\% |  |
| Note: Based on those who said they have ever seen science news with information that helps them make decisions about everyday life for them and their family. Verbatim responses are coded into categories; figures in the table are based on combining related codes into NET categories. Figures add to more than 100\% because multiple responses were allowed. |  |  |
| Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017. |  |  |
| "Science News and Information Today" |  |  |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Climate change, extraterrestrials are commonly cited topics of science news stories Americans have seen that seemed completely made up

Among the $18 \%$ of U.S. adults who said they ever read, watched or listened to science news stories that report scientific research findings that seem completely made up, the \% who say the most recent science news story they saw on this topic was about ...

| OST COMMON RESPONSES BY CATEGORY Climate change, global warming | 14\% | SAMPLE RESPONSES <br> "Article claiming global warming is overblown and melting ice is a reaction to ice buildup from the 1970s." <br> "Global warming. There is no obvious link to prove that humans have a significant factor in the change of the global climate." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space, extraterrestrials | 9\% | "There was something recently about a star behaving bizarrely ... the articles mentions of the possibility of aliens [affecting] the star's light seem like click-bait." <br> "The infinitesimal possibility that the mere existence of a bacteria on Mars could possibly mean there was once life there." |
| Life sciences, evolution | 5\% | "Article extolling creationism." <br> "Anything related to evolution." <br> "It was a discovery of a new kind of fish that could transform under pressure in the water - it was pretty ridiculous." |
| Food and nutrition | 5\% | "... the study saying how red meat basically gives you cancer. That felt completely made up to me." <br> "Coffee consumption prevents cancer." |
| Medicine and health | 4\% | "That the mercury used to lengthen the shelf life of immunizations (vaccinations) caused autism." <br> "The latest therapy that gold nano-particles can cure cancer." "It seems like everything today gives you cancer, most recent baby powder." |
| Supernatural and mythical creatures | 4\% | "Sightings of big foot." <br> "Live documentary of mermaids." |
| Energy, environment | 2\% |  |
| Technology | 1\% |  |
| Political | 1\% |  |
| All other responses | 9\% |  |
| Don't know/No answer | 43\% |  |

Note: Based on those who said they have ever seen science news stories that report scientific research findings that seem completely made up. Verbatim responses are coded into categories; figures in the table are based on combining related codes into NET categories. Figures add to more than $100 \%$ because multiple responses were allowed.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Climate change is the most commonly cited topic of stories Americans have seen about disagreements among scientific experts

Among the $29 \%$ of U.S. adults who said they ever read, watched or listened to science news stories that report on disagreements among scientific experts, the \% who say the most recent science news story they saw on this topic was about ...


## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## 3. Niche information sources are most trusted to get the facts right about science

Americans offer a mixed evaluation of how well the news media cover science, but more say that overall they do a good, rather than a bad job. When delving more deeply, however, Americans show skepticism in the accuracy of general news outlets, instead placing more trust in specialty information sources. And, while many see problems in coverage of scientific research stemming from a range of players, when asked to choose, most Americans say the bigger problem stems from how reporters cover scientific research than from the way researchers publish their findings.

## Most Americans say the media do a good job covering science news

A majority (57\%) of U.S. adults say the news media are doing a good job covering science, while about four-in-ten (41\%) say the news media are doing a bad job.

While this finding is in keeping with the relatively positive assessments in previous Pew Research Center surveys of how the media cover specific science topics, notably childhood vaccines, it stands in contrast to the mostly negative views Americans hold of how the news media, generally, affect the country. ${ }^{10}$

Democrats and independents who lean to the Democratic Party are more likely than Republicans and those leaning Republican to say the news media are doing a good job (64\% vs. $50 \%$ ). This political difference is in line with general assessments of the news media.

Older Americans are modestly more likely than their younger counterparts to say news media are doing a good job covering science


Note: Very good/bad job and somewhat good/bad job responses are combined. Type of science news consumer based on frequency they get science news and whether they tend to look for it or come across it. Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown. Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^10]( $65 \%$ of those ages 65 and older say the news media is doing a good job, compared with $53 \%$ of those younger than 50).

When it comes to rating the accuracy of science information, however, Americans are most likely to say niche science sources get the facts right.

At the top for accuracy are science and technology museums, documentaries or other science video programs, and science magazines, each of which roughly half of Americans say get the facts right most of the time (either "almost all" or "more than half" of the time).

Next are the trio of government agencies, science radio/podcasts and general news outlets, which about three-in-ten adults say get the facts right most of the time. Although far more Americans (42\%) acknowledge they don't know enough about science audio programs to rate their accuracy. Among those who were knowledgeable about such radio/podcasts, the balance of opinion is these programs get the facts right more than half or almost all the time ( $51 \%$ of those who gave an opinion), which would outpace both government and general news outlets.

Still, it is family and friends and online discussion forums

## Americans most likely to say science museums, documentaries and magazines get the facts right

\% of U.S. adults who say each of the following gets the facts right $\qquad$ when it comes to science


Note: Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER that sit at the bottom. Only one-in-six U.S. adults (16\%) say their family and friends get the facts rights about science almost all or more than half the time, while about twice as many (31\%) say their family and friends are
accurate less than half the time. Similarly, $16 \%$ of U.S. adults say online discussion forums get the facts right about science most of the time, though about four-in-ten (41\%) say they did not know enough about these sources to give an opinion.

## Active science news consumers see information sources as more accurate; political differences in views of accuracy are less pronounced

Overall, majorities of active ( $55 \%$ ), casual ( $58 \%$ ) and uninterested ( $59 \%$ ) science news consumers say the news media are doing a good job covering science. But when it comes to the individual sources types, active science news consumers generally have greater trust in the accuracy of each of the sources asked about than do casual and uninterested science news consumers. And particularly large differences emerge among the types of science news consumers when they are asked to rate niche science sources.

About three-quarters (74\%) of active science news consumers say science and technology museums, documentaries, and science magazines get the facts right most of the time. In contrast, no more than half of uninterested science news consumers think each of these sources is accurate more than half the time. This

Active science news consumers especially likely to say science museums, documentaries and magazines get the facts right
\% of U.S. adults who say each of the following get the facts right almost all/more than half of the time


[^11]pattern also holds when accounting for the greater familiarity that active science news consumers have with many of these sources.

When it comes to science information, liberal Democrats stand out as more likely to trust both general and niche sources for accurate science information.

Similarly, $41 \%$ of liberal Democrats say news outlets that cover a range of topics get the facts right about science most of the time, compared with $22 \%$ of conservative Republicans.

Political differences tend to be smaller than differences between types of science news consumers, however.

## Liberal Democrats put more trust in information sources to get the facts right about science

\% U.S. adults who say each of the following get the facts right almost all/more than half of the time


[^12]
# Many Americans see problems in coverage of scientific research stemming from scientists, the news media and themselves 

Americans see a range of problems in how scientific research is disseminated and understood, but they spread the blame across themselves, the news media and scientific researchers.

Many Americans say the public's limited knowledge about science - as well as the way the public interprets science news - are problems. Some $44 \%$ of Americans say it is a big problem that the public doesn't really know enough about science to understand research findings in the news. At the same time, $42 \%$ of U.S. adults say the public's tendency to jump to conclusions about how to apply new research findings is a big problem.

## Americans see problems in coverage of scientific research stemming from scientists, the news media and themselves

$\%$ of U.S. adults who say each of the following is ....


[^13]PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Still, a similar share of Americans express concern about how the media report on scientific research: $43 \%$ of U.S. adults say it is a big problem that the news media are "too quick to report research findings than may not hold up." A smaller share (30\%) says that media oversimplifying scientific research is a big problem, while another $42 \%$ say this is a small problem.

Many Americans also believe that researchers affect the quality of science news coverage. For example, four-in-ten Americans (40\%) say it is a big problem that there are so many research studies being published that it is hard to distinguish between high- and low-quality studies.

## Most Americans say reporters, rather than scientists, are the bigger problem when it comes to science coverage

\% of U.S. adults who say___ is the bigger problem
when it comes to news about science research findings


Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Conservative Republicans and liberal
Democrats are about equally likely to consider the public not knowing enough about science to be a big problem for coverage of scientific research. But, conservative Republicans are more likely than liberal Democrats to name every potential problem stemming from news coverage or scientific research as a big problem. For example, more than half ( $57 \%$ ) of conservative Republicans think it is a big problem that the news media are too quick to report findings that might not hold up, compared with $38 \%$ of liberal Democrats. For details, see Appendix A.

When asked, however, to choose whether the bigger problem rests in how media cover research or how researchers publish or share their findings, many more Americans put the onus on the media than on scientific researchers ( $73 \%$ vs. 24\%), as do large majorities of both conservative Republicans (80\%) and liberal Democrats (74\%).

## 4. Most Americans see at least some science posts on social media but tend to distrust what they see

Social media are a prominent source of online news that allow Americans to curate the types of information they see on a daily basis. But social media appear to play a modest role in how Americans stay informed about science. While most social media users see posts about science on these sites, a smaller core of users see a sizeable quantity of posts or actively follow science pages.

Social media platforms are proving themselves to be value-added sources of science information for some. Among social media users, $44 \%$ say they at least sometimes see science news they wouldn't see elsewhere. But, many are also highly skeptical of the news they are seeing. Only about a quarter ( $26 \%$ ) of social media users say they mostly trust the science posts they find on these sites, compared with twice that (52\%) who mostly distrust them.

## Most social media users see science-related posts but fewer follow social media pages about science

About seven-in-ten Americans say they use social media, a figure that has gone up steadily over the past decade. Among that group, 79\% ( $55 \%$ of all U.S. adults) report seeing sciencerelated posts on social media - but only $25 \%$ see "a lot" or "some" science posts, while about half (53\%) see "not many" ( $21 \%$ of users see no posts about science).

A minority of Americans are actively seeking science information on social media. About a quarter (26\%) of social media users ( $18 \%$ of U.S. adults) say they follow any pages or accounts that focus on science.

## Most social media users see science posts; 26\% follow science pages

$\%$ of social media users who say they ... See $\qquad$ science posts on social media

| A lot/some | Not many |  | Net |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $25 \%$ |  | $53 \%$ | $79 \%$ |

Follow any science pages or accounts

## 26

[^14]
## Few say social media are a primary avenue for science news

One third of social media users (33\%) say that these sites are the most important (6\%) or an important way (28\%) that they get science news; $45 \%$ say social media are not very important in how they get science news.

Younger adults are more likely to rely on social media for their science news. Roughly four-inten social media users ages 18 to 49 (41\%) consider this an important way they get science news, compared with $22 \%$ of social media users ages 50 or older.

An ongoing question about social media is whether they amplify news also seen in other venues or expose users to new

One third of social media users see these platforms as an important way they get science news
$\%$ of social media users who say that social media is $\qquad$ way they get
science news

NET IMPORTANT
33\%

The most An important, not important most important

Not a very important 45

Do not see science posts


44\% of social media users get science news there at least sometimes that they wouldn't see elsewhere
\% of social media users who say they___ see science news there that they wouldn't have seen elsewhere

NET OFTEN/SOMETIMES 44\%

| Often | Sometimes |  | Hardly ever | Never | Do not see <br> science posts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 \%$ | 36 | 26 | 8 | 21 |  |

Note: Based on U.S. adults who use social media. Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER content. The survey finds $44 \%$ of social media users see content unique to that platform at least sometimes, though $8 \%$ say they do so often. A smaller share of users, $34 \%$, say what they see on these platforms is hardly ever or never something they would not have seen elsewhere.

About two-in-ten social media users (18\%) say they follow accounts that "provide alternative perspectives to conventional science or medical research." Most of these users say they also follow traditional science pages ( $13 \%$ of social media users follow both a science account and an "alternative" science account; $5 \%$ of users only follow an alternative account).

Most social media users click through to articles about science news outside of the sites themselves; $54 \%$ at least sometimes go beyond the post, clicking on external links to science information but, as with seeing posts overall, few do this often (10\%).

## Many social media users see sciencerelated posts, but about half distrust what they see

Most social media users are skeptical about the science content they see on these platforms. About a quarter (26\%) of social media users say they mostly trust the science posts they see on these sites, compared with twice that (52\%) who mostly distrust them.

## About half of social media users say they click through to science news stories

$\%$ of social media users who say they ___ click on links when they see science news posts

| Often | Sometimes | Hardly <br> ever | Do not see <br> science posts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \%$ | 43 | 21 | 4 | 21 |

Note: Based on U.S. adults who use social media. Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The relatively low levels of trust is broadly in keeping with past studies by Pew Research Center on general news consumption; a 2016 survey found internet users to be less trusting of news found on social media than they were of other sources, including local and national news outlets. ${ }^{11}$

\section*{Only about a quarter of social media users trust the science posts they see on social media <br> $\%$ of social media users who say they___ the posts they see about science <br> | Mostly trust | Mostly distrust | Do not see <br> science posts |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $26 \%$ | 52 | 21 |}

Note: Based on U.S. adults who use social media. Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^15]
## Social media users report seeing stories about "weird" science and new discoveries as well as celebrities' health remedies

In terms of the specific types of science information that people get on social media, "strange or weird" scientific findings and new scientific discoveries are, by far, the most common types of posts seen on these platforms.

> About a quarter $(27 \%)$ of social media users have seen health or medical advice from celebrities on social media, a phenomenon with the potential to both help and hurt public understanding on these topics. ${ }^{12}$

A similar share of users (26\%) report seeing science posts that they disagree with or that seem completely made up (24\%). The survey asked respondents about any of five possible responses they have to posts they see that seem made up. The most common way people respond is to search for more information or, to

## Weird science and new discoveries are the most common types of science posts seen on social media <br> $\%$ of social media users who say they see posts about on social media <br> <br> Strange or weird scientific research findings 39\% <br> <br> Strange or weird scientific research findings 39\% <br> <br> A new scientific discovery 37 <br> <br> A new scientific discovery 37 <br> <br> Celebrities providing health or medical advice 27 <br> <br> Celebrities providing health or medical advice 27 <br> <br> Stories that they disagree with 26 <br> <br> Stories that they disagree with 26 <br> <br> Scientific research findings that seem <br> <br> Scientific research findings that seem completely made up 24 completely made up 24 <br> <br> Scientific research findings that conflict with <br> <br> Scientific research findings that conflict with earlier findings earlier findings <br> <br> Scientific information that helps them make <br> <br> Scientific information that helps them make everyday decisions 21 everyday decisions 21 <br> <br> Disagreement among scientific experts 15 <br> <br> Disagreement among scientific experts 15 <br> <br> Stories about a science researcher's <br> <br> Stories about a science researcher's misconduct 7

 misconduct 7}Note: Based on U.S. adults who use social media. Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER a lesser extent, ignoring or hiding the story. Altogether, some $18 \%$ of social media users report taking any of five actions in response to science posts that seemed completely made up: commented on the story, searched for more information, shared the story to show that it is wrong, ignored or hid the story, or unfollowed/blocked the person or organization that originated the story.

Fewer social media users report seeing posts about scientific misconduct (7\%) or disagreement among scientific experts (15\%).

[^16]
## The $26 \%$ of social media users who follow science-related accounts are especially likely to see and trust science news on social media

There are wide differences among social media users in the degree to which they engage with science news on social media platforms and the value they find in social media as a source for science information. The $26 \%$ of social media users who follow at least one science account see more science content on social media - and, they are more likely to engage with science posts they see and to consider social media an important source for their science news. Key differences between social media users who follow a science page and those who don't:

- Fully $87 \%$ of science account followers click on science posts for content either often or sometimes, compared with $42 \%$ of those who do not follow such pages.
- $64 \%$ of social media users who follow a science account consider social media an important source of their science news, compared with $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ of other social media users.
- Social media users who follow science accounts are more likely to report seeing stories they wouldn't have encountered elsewhere ( $69 \%$ say this occurs often or sometimes vs. $35 \%$ of


## About a quarter of social media users follow sciencefocused organizations, people or pages

| \% of social media users who follow <br> any organizations, people or pages <br> that are focused on science: | Don't follow a <br> science account | Do follow a <br> science account |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Those who follow a science account on social media are more engaged with science there <br> \% of social media users in each group who say the following



Note: Based on U.S. adults who use social media. Responses for "social media are an
important source of their science news" combines those saying "most important" and "important." Respondents who gave other responses to each question or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER
social media users not following a science page).

- Those who follow science pages or accounts are closely divided over whether they mostly trust or distrust the science posts they see ( $49 \%$ to $49 \%$ ). By contrast, $19 \%$ of those who do not follow science pages say they mostly trust the posts about science that they see there.
- When these more science-engaged social media users see posts they disagree with, they are more likely to report taking some kind of action in response. About a third (34\%) of users following a science account have done at least one of the five actions considered in the survey: made a comment, searched for more information, shared the story to show that it is wrong, ignored or hid the story, or unfollowed/blocked the person or organization that originated the story. In contrast, just $14 \%$ of other social media users say they have done any of these things in response to a science post they disagreed with.


## 5. Most Americans see science-related entertainment shows and movies in either a neutral or positive light

Medical and forensic television shows - such as Grey's Anatomy, House and the CSI franchise have popularized how diverse fields of research, including DNA profiling, differential diagnosis and forensic anthropology, enable investigators to solve crimes and identify diseases. And, over the decades, inventors of the mobile phone, tablet computer, and even spacecraft propulsion systems have credited science fiction, like Star Trek, as the source of their ideas; this genre now is commonplace in entertainment media offerings.

Still, most Americans believe that science-related TV shows and movies focus more on entertainment than getting the facts right - an assessment that they apply to both science fiction and more "realistic" genres. And, although these programs and films frequently employ poetic license in their portrayal of science, viewers credit them with creating a favorable image of how science, technology and medicine work.

## Science-related entertainment media draw a diverse audience

The vast majority of Americans watch sciencerelated entertainment media. About eight-in-ten (81\%) U.S. adults say they at least sometimes watch one or more of three types of shows and movies: criminal investigations, hospitals and medical settings, or science fiction. About two-in-ten (18\%) say they hardly ever or never watch these three categories of entertainment.

People who watch these types of shows and movies at least equal share of men and women, as well as highly educated and less educated adults view at least one of these genres fairly regularly (i.e., "often" or "sometimes"). Further, $78 \%$ of uninterested
science news consumers report viewing one or more of these types of programs at least sometimes, as do $82 \%$ of casual and $90 \%$ of active science news consumers.

## Viewers of science-related entertainment media tend to see such shows as giving a positive impression of work in science, technology and medicine

While popular entertainment can inspire and inform, it can also create misconceptions. Many in the scientific community have worried over how such media influence people's impressions of, support for, and understanding of scientific inquiry, knowledge and careers in these fields. ${ }^{13}$ For example, some express concern that Hollywood's portrayal of science creates unrealistic standards for criminal evidence in the public mind. Although studies about this "CSI effect" remain inconclusive, ${ }^{14}$ the issue was deemed serious enough to merit inclusion in a report published by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine and helped launch an advisory network to connect scientists and engineers with entertainment industry


SHOWS/MOVIES ABOUT HOSPITALS
AND MEDICAL SETTINGS
U.S. adults

\% of U.S. adults who say science fiction shows and movies give a favorable impression of the future of science, technology and medicine

## SCIENCE FICTION



Note: Frequent viewers are those who see each type of show/movie often or sometimes. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^17]professionals. ${ }^{15}$

This study finds viewers of science-related entertainment believe that these films and shows provide, on the whole, a positive impression of working in science, technology and medicine. A majority of Americans (56\%) who fairly regularly watch shows about criminal investigations say these programs give a positive impression of working in science, technology and medicine; by contrast just $9 \%$ say the shows and movies create a negative impression. A similar pattern occurs among viewers of shows focused on hospitals and medical settings. About half (51\%) of those who watch such shows at least sometimes say they provide a positive image of working in science, technology and medicine; just 12\% say they create a negative image.

And, while science fiction sometimes presents a dystopian view of the future, $44 \%$ of Americans who fairly regularly watch such shows and movies say the genre offers a favorable impression of the future of science, technology and medicine. Only $15 \%$ of this group says science fiction creates an unfavorable impression, and four-in-ten say the overall impression is neutral.

When it comes to realistically portraying science, majorities of Americans say that each of these types of science-related entertainment tend to focus more on entertainment than on getting the facts right. For example, when it comes to science fiction, $79 \%$ say such shows focus more on entertainment, while only $18 \%$ say that these programs portray science, technology and medicine in a realistic way.

## Most Americans think these shows and movies focus more on entertainment than being realistic

\% of U.S. adults who say each of the following types of science shows and movies ...

| SHOWS/MOVIES ABOUT CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS | Focus more on entertainment than getting the facts right | Generally portray in a realistic way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. adults | 62\% | 35\% |
| Frequent viewers | 57 | 42 |
| SHOWS/MOVIES ABOUT HOSPITALS AND MEDICAL SETTINGS |  |  |
| U.S. adults | 66 | 32 |
| Frequent viewers | 54 | 45 |
| SCIENCE FICTION SHOWS/MOVIES |  |  |
| U.S. adults | 79 | 18 |
| Frequent viewers | 78 | 21 |
| Note: Frequent viewers are those who see each type of show or movie often or sometimes. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown. <br> Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017. <br> "Science News and Information Today" |  |  |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER |  |  |

[^18]science fiction - a genre that purposefully veers from reality - the public holds similar perceptions of crime and medical shows. By a margin of roughly two-to-one, Americans think medical-oriented shows and movies prioritize entertainment (66\%) over accuracy (32\%). Similarly, Americans say crime shows focus more on entertainment than portraying science, technology and medicine in a realistic way by a margin of $62 \%$ to $35 \%$.

## Most Americans see entertainment media as doing no harm; more say it helps than hurts their understanding of science, technology and medicine

A central question surrounding science-related entertainment is whether the tendency of this genre to take poetic license undermines public understanding of science. From the public's perspective, the answer appears to be no. Most Americans say that both crime and medical shows and movies have no particular effect on their understanding of science, technology and medicine; those who do are much more likely to consider the effect positive than negative.

About half of frequent viewers
of crime-focused shows (51\%)
say these programs have no effect on their own understanding of science,

Larger shares say crime and medical entertainment
help rather than hurt their understanding of science $\%$ of U.S. adults who say each of the following types of science shows and movies $\qquad$ their understanding of science, technology and medicine
SHOWS/MOVIES ABOUT



Note: Frequent viewers are those who see each type of show or movie often or sometimes. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown. Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER
technology and medicine. More say these shows help than hurt their understanding by a margin of $40 \%$ to $9 \%$.

Frequent viewers of medicine-oriented programs judge the effect of these shows on their own understanding in a similar way. About half of this group (49\%) says these shows and movies make
no difference to their own understanding of science, technology and medicine, $38 \%$ say these shows help and just $12 \%$ say these shows hurt their understanding.

When it comes to science fiction, nearly seven-in-ten (68\%) of frequent viewers say these shows and movies make no difference to their understanding of science, technology and medicine.

## 6. Citizen science, science-related hobbies and participation in informal science activities

Participation in science-related activities serves as another source for informing the public. The past two decades have seen a resurgence in direct public engagement with science - especially through citizen science and crowdsourcing activities - as a way to learn about the process of scientific inquiry and foster a deeper dialogue around the relationships between science and society. ${ }^{16}$

Citizen science encompasses a diverse range of activities, such as birdwatching, weather monitoring, processing and analyzing astronomical data, and do-it-yourself science projects.

Overall, $16 \%$ of U.S. adults report having done at least one of the following citizen science activities: made observations or collected data samples as part of a science research project, contributed to a science-related online crowdsourcing activity, or participated in a maker movement or hack-a-thon.

A similar share (17\%) of adults

## About one-in-six Americans have contributed to a citizen science activity

\% of U.S. adults who say they have ever...


[^19]PEW RESEARCH CENTER have participated in a medical or clinical research study. One of the most common ways that Americans directly engage with medical care and research is by donating blood ( $40 \%$ of Americans say they have done this at least once). A quarter of Americans (25\%) have donated money to support medical or science research.

[^20]Some $45 \%$ of parents with minor-age children (and $36 \%$ of all adults) have helped a child with a science project for school or an outside activity.

## 18\% of Americans engage with science through hobbies, interests and activities at home

About one-in-five Americans (18\%) report they have what they consider to be a "science-related hobby, interest or activity they do outside of work."

Among the most popular:

- $5 \%$ of Americans pursue hobbies related to the environment and nature, such as outdoor and naturalist activities, gardening, and botany.
- $3 \%$ of Americans have interests in aviation or rocket building, astronomy, and star-gazing.
- $3 \%$ of Americans have technology hobbies, such as computer programming and robotics.


## Science-related hobbies include naturalist pursuits, astronomy and tech

\% of U.S. adults who say they have a science-related hobby, activity or interest outside of work
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { U.s. } \\ \text { adults } \\ \text { Among } \\ \text { those } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { hobby }\end{array} \\ \text { Have a science-related hobby } & \mathbf{1 8 \%}\end{array}\right]$

Notes: Respondents listed up to three hobbies. Verbatim responses are coded into categories; figures in the table are based on combining related codes into NET categories.
Source: Survey of U.S. adults May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Most Americans have been to a park, museum or informal science event in the past year

There are a number of other ways people encounter science information in their everyday lives and, potentially, learn about science through informal environments. ${ }^{17}$ Visits to parks and museums are foremost among them.

Altogether, $62 \%$ of U.S. adults have encountered science through one of these informal institutions or events in the past year: a national, state or county park (47\%); a zoo or aquarium (30\%); a science and technology center or museum (18\%); a natural history museum (16\%); or a science lecture (10\%).

Participation in these science-related activities is common among parents with children under age 18 (69\%) as well as those without minorage children (59\%).

By comparison, about four-in-ten Americans have been to a public library (43\%) or an event with live music in the past year (41\%). Fewer have been to a sporting event ( $35 \%$ ) or visited an art museum or art gallery in the past year

## Most Americans have visited a park or other informal science venue in the past year

\% of U.S. adults who say they have gone to each of the following in the past year


Note: Respondents who did not give an answer are not shown. Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^21]
## Active science news consumers, the highly educated and more affluent are particularly likely to have a science hobby, participate in citizen science and other science-related activities

The $17 \%$ of Americans who are active science news consumers - saying they typically seek out science news and consume it at least a few times each week - are particularly likely to have participated in science-related activities. They are far more likely than uninterested news consumers to say they have a science related hobby ( $42 \%$ vs. $7 \%$ ), to have participated in a citizen science activity ( $31 \%$ vs. 10\%), or to have been to any of five informal science venues in the past year, such as a park or museum ( $77 \%$ vs. 54\%).

Adults with more education and higher incomes levels are also more likely to have participated in any of these


Note: Type of science news consumer based on their frequency of science news consumption and whether they tend to look for or come across it. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER informal science activities and to have a science-related hobby. For details see Appendix A. This is in keeping with a number of past studies showing a tendency for those with higher education and income levels to visit science-related museums or participate in other informal science learning activities. ${ }^{19}$

Visits to science-related museums are frequently associated with activities for children. A $69 \%$ majority of parents with children under age 18 have visited a park, museum or gone to a science lecture in the past year but so, too, have $59 \%$ of adults with no minor children.

[^22]Younger generations are more likely than their older counterparts to report having a sciencerelated hobby ( $23 \%$ of those ages 18 to 29 vs. $12 \%$ of those ages 65 and older) and to have participated in a citizen science activity ( $23 \%$ of those ages 18 to 29 vs . $11 \%$ of those ages 65 and older), but there are only modest differences by age in visiting an informal science institution. Further, older adults are more likely than younger adults to have been in a clinical or medical research study and to have given money to support medical or science research, even after controlling for income and other factors.
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## Methodology

The analysis in this report is based on a nationally representative survey conducted from May 30 to June 12, 2017, among a sample of 4,024 adults 18 years of age or older. The margin of error for the full sample is plus or minus 1.6 percentage points.

The survey was conducted by the GfK Group in English and Spanish using KnowledgePanel, its nationally representative online research panel. KnowledgePanel members are recruited through probability sampling methods and include those with internet access and those who did not have internet access at the time of their recruitment (KnowledgePanel provides internet access for those who do not have it, and if needed, a device to access the internet when they join the panel). A combination of random-digit dialing (RDD) and address-based sampling (ABS) methodologies have been used to recruit panel members (in 2009 KnowledgePanel switched its sampling methodology for recruiting members from RDD to ABS).

KnowledgePanel continually recruits new panel members throughout the year to offset panel attrition as people leave the panel. All active members of the GfK panel were eligible for inclusion in this study. In all, 6,667 panelists were invited to take part in the survey. All sampled members received an initial email to notify them of the survey and provided a link to the survey questionnaire. Additional follow-up reminders were sent to those who had not responded as needed.

The final sample of 4,024 adults was weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, race, Hispanic origin, education, region, household income, home ownership status and metropolitan area to the parameters of the Census Bureau's March 2016 Current Population

Survey (CPS). This weight is multiplied by an initial sampling or base weight that corrects for differences in the probability of selection of various segments of GfK's sample and by a panel weight that adjusts for any biases due to nonresponse and noncoverage at the panel recruitment stage (using all of the parameters described above).

Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting at each of these stages.

## Margins of error continued

|  | Sample size | Margin of error <br> in percentage <br> points |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Postgraduate | 601 | $+/-4.3$ |
| College graduate | 843 | $+/-3.6$ |
| Some college | 1147 | $+/-3.1$ |
| High school or less | 1433 | $+/-2.8$ |
| Household income |  |  |
| \$100,000 + | 1381 | $+/-2.8$ |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 | 1303 | $+/-2.9$ |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 604 | $+/-4.2$ |
| <\$30,000 | 736 | $+/-3.8$ |
| Party affiliation by ideology |  |  |
| Republican/lean Rep | 1760 | $+/-2.5$ |
| $\quad$ Conservative Republican | 1133 | $+/-3.1$ |
| Mod/lib Republican | 616 | $+/-4.2$ |
| Democrat/lean Dem | 2055 | $+/-2.3$ |
| $\quad$ Conserv/mod Democrat | 1230 | $+/-3.0$ |
| Liberal Democrat | 807 | $+/-3.7$ |

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

Note: The margins of error are reported at the $95 \%$ level of confidence and are calculated by taking into account the average design effect for each subgroup.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER
Pew Research Center is a nonprofit, tax-exempt 501(c)(3) organization and a subsidiary of the Pew Charitable Trusts, its primary funder.
© Pew Research Center, 2017

# Appendix A: Detailed tables and regression analysis 

Science news interestvaries by education$\%$ of U.S. adults who are veryinterested in science news
U.S. adults ..... 25
Men ..... 30
Women ..... 20
White ..... 25
Black ..... 21
Hispanic ..... 26
Ages 18-29 ..... 22
30-49 ..... 24
50-64 ..... 26
65+ ..... 27
Postgraduate ..... 38
College graduate ..... 32
Some college ..... 25
High school or less ..... 17
Republican/lean Rep. ..... 21
Democrat/lean Dem. ..... 28
Note: Respondents who gave other
responses or who did not give an answer are not shown.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Women express more interest in health and nutrition news; men have more interest in technology news

\% of U.S. adults who are interested in each science news topic

|  | Health and medicine \% | Food and nutrition \% | $\underset{\%}{\text { Technology }}$ | Energy and environment \% | The mind and brain \% | Space and astronomy \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Evolution } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Adults | 70 | 61 | 57 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 26 |
| Men | 62 | 50 | 69 | 54 | 45 | 50 | 28 |
| Women | 78 | 71 | 45 | 47 | 54 | 30 | 25 |
| White | 72 | 61 | 57 | 51 | 51 | 44 | 27 |
| Black | 62 | 64 | 51 | 41 | 46 | 27 | 22 |
| Hispanic | 69 | 59 | 55 | 53 | 48 | 33 | 26 |
| Ages 18-29 | 58 | 51 | 59 | 46 | 50 | 38 | 31 |
| 30-49 | 65 | 61 | 57 | 48 | 50 | 41 | 25 |
| 50-64 | 78 | 64 | 56 | 53 | 48 | 41 | 25 |
| 65+ | 84 | 67 | 54 | 56 | 49 | 37 | 26 |
| Postgraduate | 81 | 72 | 69 | 67 | 64 | 46 | 36 |
| College graduate | 79 | 67 | 66 | 57 | 58 | 48 | 31 |
| Some college | 71 | 60 | 59 | 50 | 52 | 42 | 26 |
| High school or less | 63 | 55 | 47 | 42 | 40 | 32 | 21 |
| Republican/lean Rep. | 70 | 60 | 56 | 43 | 47 | 41 | 19 |
| Democrat/lean Dem. | 71 | 63 | 58 | 57 | 52 | 39 | 31 |

[^23]PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Conservative Republicans see more problems stemming from the media and from science researchers

\% of U.S. adults in each group who say each of the following is a big problem when it comes to news about scientific research findings ...

|  | Conservative Republican | Mod/Lib Republican | Mod/Conserv Democrat | Liberal Democrat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The news media |  |  |  |  |
| Too quick to report findings that may not hold up | 57 | 46 | 35 | 38 |
| Oversimplify scientific research findings | 36 | 29 | 25 | 31 |
| Too quick to report on disagreement about findings | 32 | 29 | 23 | 21 |
| Cover too many research findings that are not really important | 27 | 20 | 16 | 13 |
| Science research/researchers |  |  |  |  |
| It's hard to distinguish between high and low quality studies | 49 | 41 | 38 | 34 |
| Science researchers overstate the implications of their research findings | 40 | 29 | 22 | 18 |
| The public |  |  |  |  |
| Doesn't know enough about science to understand findings in the news | 47 | 41 | 41 | 50 |
| Jumps to conclusions about how to apply new findings to their lives | 50 | 42 | 39 | 43 |
| Note: Republicans and Democrats include independents and other non-partisans who "lean" toward the parties. Respondents who gave other responses or who did not give an answer are not shown. <br> Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017. <br> "Science News and Information Today" |  |  |  |  |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Science entertainment audience includes active and uninterested science news consumers

\% of U.S. adults who watch any of three types of shows or movies: criminal investigations, hospitals and medical settings, science fiction

|  | Watch one <br> or more of <br> these types <br> at least <br> sometimes <br> Hardly <br> ever/ <br> never <br> watch | No <br> answe |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. adults | $81 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Men | 81 | 18 | 1 |
| Women | 81 | 18 | 1 |
| Ages 18-49 | 79 | 20 | 1 |
| 50+ | 83 | 17 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| College+ | 82 | 18 | 1 |
| Some college | 82 | 17 | $*$ |
| High school or less | 79 | 19 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Republican/lean Rep. | 81 | 19 | 1 |
| Democrat/lean Dem. | 82 | 18 | 1 |

## Science news consumers

| Active | 90 | 9 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Casual | 82 | 17 | 1 |
| Uninterested | 78 | 21 | 1 |

[^24]
## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## More participation in these science and medicine activities among better educated, more affluent and active science news consumers

\% of U.S. adults who have ...

|  | Been to any of 5 informal science venues in past year | Sciencerelated hobby | Donated blood | Helped a child's science project | Donated money for research | Participated in medical clinical study | Participated in a citizen science activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. adults | 62 | 18 | 40 | 36 | 25 | 17 | 16 |
| Men | 60 | 21 | 41 | 32 | 23 | 16 | 17 |
| Women | 63 | 15 | 39 | 40 | 27 | 18 | 16 |
| White | 66 | 19 | 47 | 41 | 30 | 19 | 18 |
| Black | 38 | 14 | 28 | 29 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| Hispanic | 59 | 12 | 28 | 28 | 12 | 9 | 12 |
| Ages 18-29 | 63 | 23 | 28 | 21 | 12 | 13 | 23 |
| 30-49 | 66 | 20 | 38 | 37 | 21 | 16 | 19 |
| 50-64 | 60 | 15 | 46 | 44 | 29 | 17 | 13 |
| 65+ | 56 | 12 | 49 | 41 | 42 | 21 | 11 |
| Postgraduate | 81 | 29 | 51 | 46 | 42 | 29 | 30 |
| College graduate | 76 | 27 | 51 | 47 | 36 | 24 | 24 |
| Some college | 65 | 19 | 45 | 39 | 27 | 17 | 15 |
| High school or less | 47 | 9 | 29 | 27 | 14 | 9 | 9 |
| Household income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,000+ | 77 | 23 | 49 | 45 | 36 | 21 | 23 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 | 64 | 16 | 42 | 38 | 27 | 17 | 14 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 54 | 15 | 35 | 31 | 17 | 12 | 13 |
| < \$30,000 | 40 | 13 | 27 | 25 | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| Type of science news consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active | 77 | 42 | 49 | 50 | 37 | 28 | 31 |
| Casual | 67 | 21 | 43 | 40 | 28 | 18 | 20 |
| Uninterested | 54 | 7 | 36 | 30 | 20 | 12 | 10 |
| Parent of minor-age child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 69 | 18 | 39 | 45 | 18 | 13 | 20 |
| No | 59 | 17 | 40 | 34 | 27 | 18 | 15 |

[^25]
## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Statistical models predicting participation in science-related activities

Difference in predicted probabilities for each factor

|  | Been to any of 5 informal science venues in the past year | Sciencerelated hobby | Donated blood | Helped a child's science project | Donated money for research | Medical, clinical study participant | Citizen science activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | +0.08 | NS | NS | +0.11 | +0.08 | +0.04 | NS |
| Black, non-Hispanic | -0.24 | NS | -0.15 | -0.06 | NS | NS | -0.04 |
| Hispanic | NS | -0.07 | -0.14 | -0.10 | -0.11 | -0.06 | -0.05 |
| Other | NS | NS | -0.17 | NS | -0.10 | NS | NS |
| Reference category: White, non-Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 30-49 | NS | -0.04 | +0.05 | +0.08 | +0.09 | NS | -0.08 |
| 50-64 | -0.08 | -0.09 | +0.15 | +0.24 | +0.13 | NS | -0.12 |
| 65+ | -0.11 | -0.13 | +0.19 | +0.23 | +0.26 | +0.04 | -0.14 |
| Reference category: 18-29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Postgraduate | +0.21 | +0.11 | +0.14 | +0.08 | +0.16 | +0.14 | +0.13 |
| College graduate | +0.18 | +0.11 | +0.16 | +0.13 | +0.15 | +0.11 | +0.09 |
| Some college | +0.13 | +0.07 | +0.12 | +0.07 | +0.09 | +0.06 | +0.04 |
| Reference category: High school. or less |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,000+ | +0.28 | NS | +0.11 | +0.14 | +0.16 | NS | +0.04 |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 | +0.19 | NS | +0.09 | +0.10 | +0.11 | NS | NS |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | +0.12 | NS | +0.06 | +0.06 | +0.04 | NS | NS |
| Reference category: <\$30,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of science news consumer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active | +0.21 | +0.32 | +0.10 | +0.21 | +0.15 | +0.14 | +0.19 |
| Casual | +0.10 | +0.12 | +0.05 | +0.10 | +0.06 | +0.05 | +0.08 |
| Reference category: Uninterested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parent of minor-age child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | +0.08 | NS | +0.06 | +0.20 | -0.05 | -0.04 | +0.04 |

Note: Citizen science activity based on those who have done any of three activities. Figures shown are the difference in predicted probabilities with the reference category while other factors are held at their mean using binary logistic regression models. Positive and negative values indicated the direction of effects. NS indicates not statistically significant based on a two-tailed p value $<0.05$.
Source: Survey conducted May 30-June 12, 2017.
"Science News and Information Today"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Survey questionnaire and topline

## 2017 SCIENCE NEWS \& INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE <br> May 30-June 12, 2017 <br> $N=4,024$

## QUESTIONS 1 THROUGH 3 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

ASK ALL:
MUSEUM Which, if any, of the following have you done within the PAST 12 months?
[Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; item j always last]


## ASK ALL:

TOPICINT
How interested are you in news about each of the following topics? [RANDOMIZE]
a. Government and politics news

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$

| Very | Somewhat | Not too <br> interested | $\underline{\text { Not at all }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| interested | $\underline{\text { No }}$ |  |  |
| interested |  |  |  |

b. News about your local
community
May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
41
45
10
4
c. Sports news

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
17
30
24
28
d. Business and finance news

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]
18
42
27
13
e. Science news

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024]$

25
46
20
8

10
37
34
18
*
ntertainment news
May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]
$-18$

*

## ASK ALL:

Which, if any, of these science-related topics are you interested in?
[Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; items $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{i}$ always last]

| a. |  | Selected | Not selected/ No answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health and medicine |  | No answer |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 70 | 30 |
| b. | Technology |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 57 | 43 |
| c. | Energy and environment |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 50 | 50 |
| d. | Food and nutrition |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 61 | 39 |
| e. | Space and astronomy |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 40 | 60 |
| f. | Evolution of humans and animals |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 26 | 74 |
| g. | The mind and brain |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 50 | 50 |
| h. | Other |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 2 | 98 |
| i. | None of these [EXCLUSIVE PUNCH] |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 7 | 93 |

## COMBINED RESPONSES TOPICS2 AND TOPICMOST [BASED ON TOTAL N=4,024]: <br> TOPICS2 Which, if any, of these science-related topics are you interested in? [Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; items $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{i}$ always last] <br> ASK IF INTERESTED IN TWO OR MORE TOPICS IN TOPICS2: <br> TOPICMOST And, among those, which are you MOST interested in?

|  | (TOPICS2) | (TOPICS2) | (TOPICSMOST) | No answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Health and medicine | 70 | 28 | 43 | 30 |
| b. Technology | 57 | 17 | 39 | 43 |
| c. Energy and environment | 50 | 9 | 41 | 50 |
| d. Food and nutrition | 61 | 17 | 44 | 39 |
| e. Space and astronomy | 40 | 9 | 31 | 60 |
| f. Evolution of humans and animals | 26 | 2 | 24 | 74 |
| g. The mind and brain | 50 | 9 | 40 | 50 |
| h. Other | 2 | 1 | 1 | 98 |
| i. None of these | 7 | 7 | N/A | 93 |

## ASK ALL:

SOURCE1 Which statement best describes how you get science news? [RANDOMIZE]
May 30-
June 12
2017
$\mathrm{N}=4,024$
$\begin{array}{ll}39 & \text { I get most of my science news from a couple of specific sources } \\ 57 & \text { I get science news from many different sources } \\ 3 & \text { No answer }\end{array}$

ASK ALL:
SOURCE2 Which statement best describes how you get science news? [RANDOMIZE]

May 30-
June 12
2017
$\mathrm{N}=4,024$
24 I get most of my science news from sources that specialize in science topics
I get most of my science news from sources that cover all sorts of general news topics
72
No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENJOY How much would you say you enjoy following news about science compared with other kinds of news?

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
8 A lot more than other news
43 More than other news
33 Less than other news
13 A lot less than other news
1 No answer

## ASK ALL:

KNOWLEDGE How much would you say you know about science?
May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$

| 10 | A lot |
| :---: | :--- |
| 53 | Some |
| 31 | Not much |
| 6 | Nothing at all |
| $*$ | No answer |

## ASK ALL:

SEEK Which statement best describes how you get science news? [RANDOMIZE]
May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
30 I mostly get science news because I'm looking for it 68 I mostly get science news because I happen to come across it 2 No answer

## ASK ALL:

SOURCE3
Which of the following, if any, do you regularly get your science news from?
[Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; items $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ always last]


## ASK ALL:

SCIWHY
Here are some reasons people follow news about science. Is each a reason you follow news about science, or not? [RANDOMIZE]

| $\frac{\text { Yes, a major }}{\text { reason }}$ | $\frac{\text { Yes, a minor }}{\text { reason }}$ | $\frac{\text { No, not a }}{\text { reason }}$ | $\frac{$ No  <br>  Answer/Not }{ applicable } |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 42 | 43 | 1 |

b. It is related to the things I need to know or do for my job

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024]$

11
20
69
1
c. It helps me make decisions about everyday life for me and my family

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]
18
39
42
d. I feel I have a social or civic obligation to stay informed about science

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ]
13
35
51

18
May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
39
42
g. It is related to my activities, hobbies or interests outside of work

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]
15
35
49
1
f. It is related to my children's activities, interests, or education

Based on total [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ]
May 30-June 12, 2017
Based on parents of
children under 18
(XPARENT=1) [ $\mathrm{N}=929$ ]
May 30-June 12, 2017
6
9
9
75

24
38
37
1

## ASK ALL:

WHYNOT
Here are some reasons people DO NOT follow news about science more often. Is each a reason you don't follow science news more often, or not? [RANDOMIZE]
a. Science news is boring

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ]
Yes, a major Yes, a minor reason reason
$\frac{\text { No, not a }}{\text { reason }}$

6
20
73
b. Science news is hard to understand

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024$ ]

8
30
62
c. I often disagree with the news I see about science

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]
5
18
75
No Answer 1
d. Science news is less important to keep up with than other topics

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024]$
e. I'm too busy to keep up with science news more often

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
11
35
53

76
[ $N=4,024$ ]
5
18
g. The sources I regularly get news from do not cover a lot of science news

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
9
34
56
2
h. The science topics I am most interested in are not covered often

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
$6 \quad 27$
66
1

## ASK ALL:

NEWSJOB Overall, how would you rate the job news media do in covering science?

May 30-
June 12
$\underline{2017}$
$N=4,024$

| 6 | Very good job |
| :---: | :--- |
| 52 | Somewhat good job |
| 32 | Somewhat bad job |
| 9 | Very bad job |
| 1 | No answer |

ASK ALL:
NEWSFACTS How often, if ever, do each of the following sources GET THE FACTS RIGHT when it comes to science? [SHOW IN SAME ORDER AS SOURCE3]
a. News outlets that cover a range of topics

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
6 Almost all of the time
Based on those who rated
$\mathrm{N}=3,146$
23 More than half of the time
8
五
28 About half of the time 36
13 Less than half of the time
17
7 Hardly ever
9
22 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate 1 No answer
b. Documentaries or other science video programs

| May 30- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 12 |  | Based on those |
| $\underline{2017}$ |  | who rated |
| $N=4,024$ |  | $N=3,110$ |
| 19 | Almost all of the time | 26 |
| 32 | More than half of the time | 42 |
| 16 | About half of the time | 21 |
| 5 | Less than half of the time | 6 |
| 4 | Hardly ever | 5 |
| 23 | I don't know enough about this type of source to rate |  |
| 1 | No answer |  |

## NEWSFACTS CONTINUED...

c. Science magazines in print or online

May 30-

June 12
$\underline{2017}$
$N=4,024$
18 Almost all of the time
Based on those who rated
$\mathrm{N}=2,878$
28 More than half of the time
26
14 About half of the time 40

5 Less than half of the time 20

5 Hardly ever
28 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate 1 No answer
d. Online discussion forums about science

May 30-
June 12
$\underline{2017}$
$N=4,024$
3
13 More than half of the time
Based on those who rated $N=2,287$

6
22 About half of the time
23
12 Less than half of the time
38
7 Hardly ever 12
41 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate 2 No answer
e. Science podcasts or radio programs

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
8 Almost all of the time
Based on those who rated
$N=2,251$
21 More than half of the time
13
16 About half of the time
37
$\square \quad 29$
6 Less than half of the time
10
$6 \quad$ Hardly ever
42 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate 2 No answer

10

## NEWSFACTS CONTINUED...

f. Family, friends and acquaintances

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
3 Almost all of the time
Based on those who rated $\mathrm{N}=3,224$

21 Less than half of the time 26
10 Hardly ever 13
19 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate 1 No answer
g. Government agencies

May 30-
June 12 2017
$N=4,024$
8 Almost all of the time
Based on those who rated $\mathrm{N}=2,967$

10
21 More than half of the time 29
22 About half of the time 30
12 Less than half of the time 17
9 Hardly ever 13
26 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate 1 No answer
h. Advocacy organizations

| May $30-$ <br> June 12 <br> 2017 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ |  |
| 5 | Almost all of the time |
| 17 | More than half of the time |
| 20 | About half of the time |
| 10 | Less than half of the time |
| 8 | Hardly ever |
| 39 | I don't know enough about this type of source to rate |
| 2 | No answer |

Based on those who rated $N=2,428$
5 Almost all of the time
8
17 More than half of the time
29
$10-33$

- 17

39 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate 2 No answer

## NEWSFACTS CONTINUED...

i. Science and technology centers or museums

May 30-
June 12 2017
$N=4,024$
27 Almost all of the time
Based on those who rated $\mathrm{N}=2,975$

27 More than half of the time
37
10 About half of the time 13
5 Less than half of the time
5 Hardly ever 7
26 I don't know enough about this type of source to rate
1 No answer

## ASK ALL:

STORIES
Do you ever read, watch or listen to news stories that report...
[Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; item h always last]
a. A new scientific discovery
May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024]$
b. Scientific information that helps you make decisions about everyday life for you and your family

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024$ ]

| Selected | $\frac{\text { Not selected/ }}{\text { No answer }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 44 |

37
63
c. Disagreement among scientific experts

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024$ ]
29
71
d. Scientific research findings that conflict with earlier research findings on the same topic

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ]
41
59
e. Strange or weird scientific research findings

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024$ ]
48
52
f. Scientific research findings that seem completely made up

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ]
18
82
g. Stories about a science researcher's misconduct

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024] \quad 15$
85
h. None of these [EXCLUSIVE PUNCH]

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ]

## ASK IF GETS NEWS THAT HELPS MAKE DECISIONS (STORIES_B=1) [N=1,556]:

DECIS_OE What was the most recent science news story you read, watched or listened to that helped you make a decision about everyday life for you or your family? [OPEN-END]

Based on those who "ever see news that helps you make decisions about everyday life for you or your family" $\mathbf{N}=1,556$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 30 \text {-June } 12 \\ \frac{\mathbf{2 0 1 7}}{\mathbf{2 3}} \end{gathered}$ | Medicine and health NET |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Diseases and treatments |
| 5 | General medicine and health |
| 3 | Effects of medications |
| 2 | Vaccinations |
| 2 | Effects of vitamins and supplements |
| 1 | Bug-borne diseases |
| 1 | Exercise/fitness |
| 1 | Sleep |
| * | Stem cell/stem cell research |
| 16 | Climate change, energy and environment NET |
| 8 | Climate change and global warming |
| 2 | Energy |
| 2 | General environment |
| 2 | Air and water quality/conservation |
| 1 | Plants and animals |
| 1 | Weather |
| * | Recycling |
| 16 | Food and nutrition NET |
| 7 | Effects of food on health |
| 4 | "Nutrition"/"diet" |
| 3 | General food |
| 2 | GMOs |
| 1 | Chemicals/additives in food |
| 3 | Space and astronomy |
| 3 | Technology NET |
| 2 | Advances in technology (nanotech, AI, etc.) |
| 1 | General technology |
| 1 | Social behaviors NET |
| 1 | Parenting and pregnancy |
| 1 | General social behaviors |
| 6 | Other responses |
| 32 | Don't know/Not sure |

## ASK IF GETS NEWS ABOUT DISAGREEMENTS (STORIES_C=1) [N=1,309]:

DISAG_OE What was the most recent news story you read, watched or listened to about a disagreement among scientific experts? [OPEN-END]

Based on those who "ever see news about disagreements among scientific experts" $\mathrm{N}=1,309$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { May } 30-\text { June } 12 \\ \frac{2017}{\mathbf{3 4}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Climate change, energy and environment NET |
| 32 | Climate change and global warming |
| 1 | Energy |
| 1 | General energy and environment |
| * | Earth is flat |
| 8 | Medicine and health NET |
| 2 | Vaccinations |
| 2 | General medicine and health |
| 2 | Disease causes and treatments |
| 1 | Marijuana |
| * | Medical drug |
| * | Mammograms |
| * | Vitamins and supplements |
| 7 | Space and astronomy NET |
| 3 | Planets (new planets, Pluto, etc.) |
| 3 | General space and astronomy |
| 1 | Extraterrestrials/UFOs |
| 6 | Food and nutrition NET |
| 3 | What food to eat/avoid |
| 2 | General food and nutrition |
| 1 | GMOs |
| * | Gluten |
| 5 | Life sciences NET |
| 3 | Evolution, creationism/human origins |
| 1 | Dinosaurs and prehistoric animals |
| 1 | General life sciences |
| * | Supernatural |
| 7 | Other responses |
| 22 | Don't know/Not sure |

## ASK IF GET NEWS ABOUT MADE UP SCIENCE (STORIES_F=1) [N=747]:

FAKE_OE What was the most recent scientific research finding you saw that seemed completely made up? [OPEN-END]

Based on those who "ever see scientific research findings that seem completely made up" $\mathrm{N}=747$


## ASK ALL:

SNSUSE Do you ever use social media (such as Facebook, Twitter, or Snapchat)?

May 30-
June 12
2017
$\mathrm{N}=4,024$
70 Yes

30

* No answer

ASK IF USES SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSUSE=1) [ $\mathbf{N = 2 , 7 5 5 ] : ~}$
SNSFREQ And do you use social media...
Based on those who use social media
$\mathrm{N}=2,755$
54 Several times a day
23 About once a day
15 A few times a week
5 Every few weeks
4 Less often

* No answer

ASK IF USES SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSUSE=1) [ $\mathbf{N = 2 , 7 5 5 ] : ~}$
FOLLOW On social media, do you follow any organizations, people or pages that are focused on science?

Based on those who use social media
$N=2,755$
26 Yes, at least one
73 No, none

* No answer

ASK IF USES SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSUSE=1) [ $\mathrm{N}=2,755$ ]:
FOLLOWANTI On social media, do you follow any organizations, people or pages that provide alternative perspectives to conventional science or medical research?

Based on those who use social media
$N=2,755$
18 Yes, at least one
81 No, none
1 No answer
ASK IF USES SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSUSE=1) [ $\mathrm{N}=2,755$ ]:
SNSSCI Of the posts you see on social media, how many are about science?
Based on those who use social media
$N=2,755$

| 2 | A lot |
| :---: | :--- |
| 23 | Some |
| 53 | Not many |
| 21 | None |
| $*$ | No answer |

ASK IF EVER SEES SCIENCE POSTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSSCI=1, 2 or 3) [N=2,186]:
SNSCLICK When you see science news posts on social media, how often do you click on a link to a news story?
Based on those who "ever see science posts on social media" $N=2,186$

| 13 | Often |
| :---: | :--- |
| 55 | Sometimes |
| 26 | Hardly ever |
| 5 | Never |
| 1 | No answer |

Based on those who use social media
$N=2,755$
79 See science posts on social media
10 Often
43 Sometimes
21 Hardly ever
4 Never
1
No answer
21 Do not see science posts on social media

* No answer


## ASK IF EVER SEES SCIENCE POSTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSSCI=1, 2 or 3) [N=2,186]:

SNSSCIMP Which of the following statements best describes you?
Based on those who "ever see science posts on social media"

## $N=2,186$

7 Social media are the most important way I get science news
35 Social media are an important way I get science news, but not the 57 most important
57 Social media are not a very important way I get science news 1 No answer
Based on those who use social media
$\mathrm{N}=2,755$
79 $\quad$ See science posts on social media $\quad$ Social media are the most important way I get science news

## ASK IF EVER SEES SCIENCE POSTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSSCI=1, 2 or 3) [N=2,186]:

SNSPOST Thinking about social media posts you see about science, do you ever see posts about
[Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; item j always last]

## Based on those who "ever see science posts on social media"

## Not selected/

a. A new scientific discovery

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ]
b. Scientific information that helps you make decisions about everyday life for you and your family

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ]
c. Disagreement among scientific experts

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ]
Selected
No answer
47
53
cientific research findings that conflict with earlier research findings on the same topic

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ]
30
70
e. Strange or weird scientific research findings

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=2,186$ ]
50
50
f. Scientific research findings that seem completely made up

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ]
30
70
g. Stories about a science researcher's misconduct

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ] 9
$9 \quad 91$
h. Stories that you disagree with

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ]
33
67
i. Celebrities providing health or medical advice

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=2,186$ ]
34
66
j. None of these [EXCLUSIVE PUNCH]

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=2,186$ ]
18
82

ASK IF SEES MADE-UP SCIENCE NEWS ON SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSPOST_f=1) [N=684]:
SNSCHECK1 Have you ever done any of the following in response to a social media post about scientific research findings that seemed completely made up?
[Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; item f always last]
Based on those who "ever see scientific research findings that seem completely made up on social media"
a. Commented on the story May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=684$ ]
b. Searched for more information

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=684$ ]

| Selected | $\frac{\text { Not selected/ }}{\text { No answer }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 73 |

c. Shared the story in order to show it's wrong

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=684$ ] 14
d. Ignored or hid the story

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=684$ ] 40
e. Unfollowed or blocked the person or organization the story came from

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=684$ ] 2278
f. None of these [EXCLUSIVE PUNCH]

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=684$ ]
22
78

## ASK IF SEES SCIENCE NEWS THEY DISAGREE WITH ON SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSPOST_h=1)

 [ $\mathrm{N}=769$ ]:SNSCHECK2 Have you ever done any of the following in response to a social media post about science news that you disagreed with?
[Select all answers that apply] [SHOW IN SAME ORDER AS SNSCHECK1]
Based on those who "ever see science stories they disagree with on social media"
Not selected/
Selected No answer
a. Commented on the story May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=769$ ] 28
b. Searched for more information

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=769$ ] 50
c. Shared the story in order to show it's wrong

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=769$ ] 1189
d. Ignored or hid the story

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=769$ ] 33
$33 \quad 67$
e. Unfollowed or blocked the person or organization the story came from

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=769$ ] 1
$15 \quad 85$
f. None of these [EXCLUSIVE PUNCH]

May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=769$ ]
24
76

## ASK IF EVER SEES SCIENCE POSTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSSCI=1, 2 or 3) [N=2,186]:

SNSNEW How often would you say the science news you see on social media are stories that you wouldn't have seen elsewhere?

Based on those who "ever see science posts on social media"
$N=2,186$
10 Often
46 Sometimes
33 Hardly ever
11 Never
1 No answer
Based on those who use social media
$N=2,755$
79 See science posts on social media
8 Often
36 Sometimes
26 Hardly ever
8 Never
1 No answer
21 Do not see science posts on social media

* No answer

ASK IF EVER SEES SCIENCE POSTS ON SOCIAL MEDIA (SNSSCI=1, 2 or 3) [N=2,186]:
SNSTRUST Thinking about all of the posts you see on social media about science, would you say you... [RANDOMIZE]

Based on those who "ever see science posts on social media"
$N=2,186$
34 Mostly trust them
65 Mostly distrust them
1 No answer
Based on those who use social media
$N=2,755$
79 See science posts on social media
26 Mostly trust them
52 Mostly distrust them
1 No answer
21 Do not see science posts on social media

* No answer


## ASK ALL: <br> PROBSET

Thinking in general about science news today...
Do you think that each of the following is a big problem, a small problem or not a problem when it comes to news about scientific research findings? [RANDOMIZE]

|  |  | A big problem | A small problem | Not a problem | No answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | The news media are too quick to report research findings that may not hold up |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May 30-June 12, } 2017 \\ & {[N=4,024]} \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 37 | 17 | 2 |

b. The news media cover too many research findings that are not really important

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]
c. There are so many research studies out there it's hard to distinguish between high and low quality studies

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
d. The public jumps to conclusions about how to apply new research findings to their lives

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
e. The public doesn't know enough about science to really understand research findings covered in the news

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024]$
f. The news media oversimplify scientific research findings

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]
g. Science researchers overstate the implications of their research findings

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ]
27
47
24
h. The news media are too quick to report on disagreement about science research findings

May 30-June 12, 2017
[ $N=4,024$ ]

## ASK ALL:

BLAME Which of these do you think is the BIGGER problem when it comes to news about scientific research findings? [RANDOMIZE]

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
73 The way news reporters cover scientific research findings
24 The way science researchers publish or share their new research findings
3 No answer

## ASK ALL:

DISCUSS
Thinking about the people you talk with, whether in person, over the phone, or online... How often do you discuss science news with others?

May 30-
June 12
$\underline{2017}$
$N=4,024$
3 Nearly every day
14 A few times a week
27 A few times a month
55 Less often
1 No answer
ASK IF DISCUSSES SCIENCE AT LEAST A FEW TIMES A MONTH (DISCUSS=1, 2 or 3)
[ $\mathrm{N}=1,814$ ]:
LEAD When you talk to people about science news, do you tend to... [RANDOMIZE]
Based on those who "discuss science news with others at least a few times a month" $N=1,814$

63 Listen to the conversation more than lead
36 Lead the conversation more than listen
1 No answer

## ASK IF DISCUSSES SCIENCE AT LEAST A FEW TIMES A MONTH (DISCUSS=1, 2 or 3)

[ $\mathrm{N}=1,814$ ]:
TURN Do you typically turn to others for science news, or do people typically turn to you?
Based on those who "discuss science news with others at least a few times a month" $\mathrm{N}=1,814$

54 I turn to others
44 People turn to me
2 No answer

## RANDOMIZE ENTCRIM1-ENTCRIME4; ENTHOSP1-ENTHOSP4; ENTSCIFI1-ENTSCIFI4:

## ASK ALL:

ENTCRIM1
How often do you watch entertainment programs or movies that are focused on CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS?

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
26 Often
37 Sometimes
21 Hardly ever
15 Never
1 No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTCRIM2
Which comes closer to your view about CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION shows or movies, even if neither is exactly right? [RANDOMIZE]

May 30-
June 12 2017
$N=4,024$
They generally portray science, technology and medicine in a realistic way
They focus on entertainment more than getting the science, technology and medicine in the story right
3
No answer
ASK ALL:
ENTCRIM3
Overall, do you think watching CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION shows or movies...
May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
30
11
Helps your understanding of science, technology and medicine Hurts your understanding of science, technology and medicine Makes no difference in your understanding of science, technology and 57 medicine
2 No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTCRIM4
May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
47 Give a favorable impression of working in science, technology and medicine
11 Give an UNfavorable impression of working in science, technology and medicine
39 Give a neutral impression of working in science, technology and medicine
2 No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTHOSP1 How often do you watch entertainment programs or movies that are focused on HOSPITALS AND MEDICAL SETTINGS?

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
11 Often
31 Sometimes
34 Hardly ever
23 Never
1 No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTHOSP2 Which comes closer to your view about shows or movies focused on HOSPITALS AND MEDICAL SETTINGS, even if neither is exactly right? [SHOW IN SAME ORDER AS ENTCRIM2]

May 30-
June 12 2017
$N=4,024$

66 They focus on entertainment more than getting the science, technology
3
They generally portray science, technology and medicine in a realistic way and medicine in the story right No answer

## ASK ALL:

## ENTHOSP3

Overall, do you think watching shows or movies focused on HOSPITALS AND MEDICAL SETTINGS...

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
23 Helps your understanding of science, technology and medicine
12 Hurts your understanding of science, technology and medicine
62 Makes no difference in your understanding of science, technology and medicine
No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTHOSP4
Overall, do you think shows or movies focused on HOSPITALS AND MEDICAL SETTINGS...
May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
37 Give a favorable impression of working in science, technology and medicine
14 Give an UNfavorable impression of working in science, technology and medicine
46 Give a neutral impression of working in science, technology and medicine
2 No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTSCIFI1 How often do you watch entertainment programs or movies that are SCIENCE FICTION?
May 30-
June 12
$\underline{2017}$
$N=4,024$
15 Often
34 Sometimes
27 Hardly ever
23 Never
1 No answer

## ASK ALL:

## ENTSCIFI2

May 30-
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
18
They generally portray science, technology and medicine in a realistic way They focus on entertainment more than getting the science, technology and medicine in the story right
No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTSCIFI3
Overall, do you think watching SCIENCE FICTION shows or movies...
May 30-
June 12
$\underline{2017}$
$N=4,024$
13 Helps your understanding of science, technology and medicine
13 Hurts your understanding of science, technology and medicine
72 Makes no difference in your understanding of science, technology and medicine
2 No answer

## ASK ALL:

ENTSCIFI4
Overall, do you think SCIENCE FICTION shows or movies...
May 30-
June 12 2017
$\mathrm{N}=4,024$
30 Give a favorable impression of the future for science, technology and medicine
20 Give an UNfavorable impression of the future for science, technology and medicine
47 Give a neutral impression of the future of science, technology and medicine
3 No answer

## ASK ALL:

HOBBY
Do you have any science-related hobbies, interests, or activities outside of work?
May 30-
June 12 $\underline{2017}$
$N=4,024$

| 18 | Yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| 82 | No |
| 1 | No answer |

ASK IF HAS A HOBBY (HOBBY=1) [ $\mathrm{N}=742$ ]:
HOBBY2
What kind of hobby, interest or activity is that? [OPEN-END]

| $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Among all } \\ \text { U.S. adults } \\ \hline \mathbf{N}=4,024 \\ 5 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Environment and nature NET | Among those who have a $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { hobby }}{N}=742 \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Outside activities and sports | 6 |
| 1 | Gardening and farming | 6 |
| 1 | Botany/plants | 3 |
| 1 | General environment and nature | 3 |
| * | Animal-related | 3 |
| * | Conservation | 3 |
| * | Geology/Archaeology | 2 |
| * | Birdwatching/Ornithology | 1 |
| * | Weather | 1 |
| 3 | Air, space and astronomy NET | 17 |
| 2 | Astronomy | 9 |
| 1 | General air and space | 3 |
| * | Rockets and rocketry | 1 |
| * | Aviation | * |
| 3 | Technology NET | 15 |
| 1 | Computers and computer programming | 5 |
| 1 | General technology | 4 |
| * | Model building | 2 |
| * | Electronics and machines | 2 |
| * | Robotics | 1 |
| * | Cars | 1 |
| 1 | Health and wellness NET | 8 |
| 1 | General health and wellness | 4 |
| 1 | Exercise and nutrition | 4 |
| 1 | Experiments and research in specific topics NET | 8 |
| 1 | General research and experiments | 3 |
| 1 | Interest in specific science topics | 3 |
| * | Research and experiments with kids | 2 |
| 1 | Science learning activities NET | 7 |
| 1 | Reading | 4 |
| * | Museums | 1 |
| * | Educational science programs | 1 |
| * | Food and home improvement NET | 2 |
| * | Food science | 2 |
| * | Home improvement | * |
| 2 | Other responses | 11 |
| 1 | Don't know/Not sure | 8 |

## ASK ALL:

LIST1
Which, if any, of the following have you ever done? (Do not count any activities that you did for your job)
[Select all answers that apply] [RANDOMIZE ITEMS; item h always last]

| a. | Participated in a medical or clinical research study |  | Not selected/ No answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 17 | 83 |
| b. | Made observations or collected data samples as part of a science research project (such as observations about bird, animal and plant life, or weather, air and water quality) May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 14 | 86 |
| c. | Contributed to a science-related online crowdsourcing activity (such as classifying stars and galaxies or identifying animals) <br> May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024$ ] | 3 | 97 |
| d. | Helped a child with a science project whether for school or for an outsideschool activity May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 36 | 64 |
| e. | Participated in a maker movement or hack-a-thon event to develop new technologies, devices or software May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 2 | 98 |
| f. | Donated blood May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024$ ] | 40 | 60 |
| g. | Donated money to support medical or science research <br> May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $N=4,024$ ] | 25 | 75 |
| h. | None of these [EXCLUSIVE PUNCH] May 30-June 12, 2017 [ $\mathrm{N}=4,024$ ] | 33 | 67 |

## ASK ALL:

PARTY
In politics today, do you consider yourself a...?
May $30-$
June 12
2017
$N=4,024$
26 Republican
36 Democrat
30 Independent
6 Something else, please specify
2 No answer

## ASK IF (PARTY=3,4,-1)[N=1,494]:

PARTYLN As of today, do you lean more to...
Based on those who said Independent, something else, or refused on PARTY $\mathrm{N}=1,494$
38 The Republican Party

48 The Democratic Party
15 No answer

## PARTY/PARTY LN COMBINED

PARTY In politics today, do you consider yourself a...?
PARTYLN As of today, do you lean more to...
May 30-
June 12 2017
$N=4,204$
26 Republican
36 Democrat
30 Independent
6 Something else, please specify
2 No answer
40 Republican/Lean Republican
54 Democrat/Lean Democrat
6 Refused to lean
ASK ALL:
IDEO
In general, would you describe your political views as...
May 30-
June 12
2017
$\mathrm{N}=4,024$
7 Very conservative
26 Conservative
41 Moderate
16 Liberal
7 Very liberal
3 No answer
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